

Water Risk Tools:

Lessons & Updates from the Water Risk Filter

Alexis Morgan Water Stewardship Lead WWF International

The Water Risk Filter



A free online tool to:

- Assess water risk
- Analyse results
- Explore mitigation options & country profiles





What have we learned about water risk, context and improvement opportunities?



- 1. Assessing water risk is a global and local process
- 2. Water risk is both basin and operational
- 3. Water risk needs to be about assessment **and** mitigation
- 4. Water risk models should be considered in combination
- 5. Water risk = context (& can help move towards contextbased water targets)
- 6. Water risk is more meaningful if it links to value.



1) Assessing water Risk is Global & Local WRF Upgrade: > high resolution data





WWF

2) Water Risk is both Basin & Operational WRF Upgrade: Data & indicator overhaul





*Climate change projections (coming)



3) Water risk = assessment **and** mitigation

WRF Upgrade: Customized mitigation recommendations

Water Risk Assessment Portfolio results Questionnair			esults Questionnaire Analysis	Analysis		
Basin related	risk					Download •
Risk	Nº	Score	Indicator		Answer	
Physical Risk Scarcity (Quantity)	1	4 High risk	Annual average monthly net water depletion (WaterGap) (Intercontinuation) Global dataset Basin level indicator		High depletion (Seasonal)	
	2	4 High risk	Number of months per year net water depletion exceeds <60% (WaterGap) Global dataset Basin level indicator		4-9 months	1
	3	5 Very high risk	Net water depletion in the month in which net water depletion is the highest in this river basin (Water Gap) Global dataset Basin level indicator		Very high depletion (>75%	/
	3a	2 Limited risk	Aridity Global dataset Grid level indicator	ment identified in the A	Dry sub-humid	/
	Sugge isk m	sted po itigatio	otential water n actions	and boost reports in deed ing direct water sources ins and concerns infalted to e ens' water management pra- ens' positions		
-	Opt Opt	ion A — ion B —	Image: Section of the section of t	policyktatement in water welt-drawelig-tonsum in west-welter discharge for watershed take if ookse, procursinent and o coptod allocation weltigment (on	value for developments, and contents, and co	EO Water Mandat
-	Opt Etc	ion C –	EXX Topper on hearts	dators groups ananyketer users ton ted to water in financial film	ALLIANCE FOR	THE GLOBAL G

• THE WATER RISK FILTER

3) Water risk = assessment and mitigation WRF Upgrade: Customized mitigation recommendations



Basin & Operational Risk Results



Corporate or Facility?

Country or Basin?

New or Experienced?

Specific Risk you want to mitigate?



4) Consider water risk models in combination WRF Upgrade: Water Risk Filter AND (not or) Aqueduct







4th IPCC Assessment highlights 23 (!!!) and uses 15 models, why wouldn't we use at least two?



http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/wg1/ar4-wg1-chapter8.pdf



THE WATER RISK FILTER





INITIAL CONTEXT-BASED WATER TARGETS Draws from a specific "CBWT method" and a hydrological model to



6) Water Risk needs to tie to value WRF Upgrade: Valuation Module







- Logic model to link water risk events to financial impacts ("stress testing")
- User guided
- Informed by WWF, Veolia, Equarius +
- Excel \rightarrow Online



<u>Financial Value Elements:</u> 1. Operational & Maintenance costs | 2. Administrative & Regulatory Costs | 3. Capital costs | 4. Lost Revenue impacts | 5. Financial Costs | 6. Social & Natural Capital Costs

Bubble size reflects average aggregate total value for each financial value element

A new tool to more rigourously think through how water risk can affect the financial value of assets

Water Risk Filter

WWF

Summary & overview of upcoming upgrades



- New interface (cleaner, simpler, intuitive, more powerful)
- 2. Indicator updating (basin & corporate) with improved questionnaire
- 3. New, assessment-linked risk mitigation toolbox
- 4. Additional high-resolution areas
- 5. Additional (WWF) basin data
- 6. New business model
- Enhanced communications (& links with Aqueduct)
- 8. Valuation module



What have we learned about water risk, context and improvement opportunities?



THANK YOU!

- 1. Assessing water risk is a global and local process
- 2. Water risk is both basin and operational
- 3. Water risk needs to be about assessment **and** mitigation
- 4. Water risk models should be considered in combination
- 5. Water risk = context (& can help move towards contextbased water targets)
- 6. Water risk is more meaningful if it links to value.



ADDITIONAL BACKGROUND SLIDES



Water Risk Filter Major Change #1 – New Interface





- Simpler
- Intuitive
- Flexible
- Faster
- New colours
- New branding
- In short: easier & more powerful



Water Risk Filter Major Change #5 – Data & WWF Basins



Serve additional basin data

WWF basin story maps

- Details about WWF basins (what, why, how)
- Stories + pictures + videos + maps to illustrate our work



Explore Global Results What you can do Country Profiles Reports & News	Assess Your Entries Results & Analysis	Mitigate Physical Risks Regulatory Risks Reputational Risks	Information FAQ What Is How to About Further Readings	Ê y G+ D
Your Feedback Email Your name		Legal Notices Disclaimer WWF Privacy Policy Masthead		WWF International Avenue du Mont-Blanc 1196 Giand Switzerland wwf panda.org waterriskfilter@wwfint.org
Your concern				
	SEND			© 2016 WWF International



Water Risk Filter Major Change #6 – New Business Model



The Water Risk Filter

We will offer external users 3 services:

- For those who have time: 1. A free, easy-to-use, user-led to explore, assess, and respond to water risks
- For those who seek simplicity: 2.

An annual subscription that simplifies your life by providing

annually updated & customized water risk assessment that provide you with customized site and corporate water risk analysis and recommendations.

3. For those who need details: A bespoke, *in-depth water risk analysis* that draws upon our water stewardship experts to create a detailed report customized to your specific needs (e.g., supply chains).



Water Risk Filter Major Change #7 – Communications



We will be enhancing our communications efforts:

 Water Risk reports: WWF will begin to generate a series of water risk reports & maps to create interest and grow awareness of water risk & stewardship.



2. Collaboration:

WWF is working with WRI to engage in joint communications, projects (e.g., CBWTs), publications to align and explain how our tools complement one another.







- 1. Water risk assessment as a field continues to learn, shift, and grow
- 2. Tool developers are responding to user needs and lessons learned
- 3. Water Risk Filter will be rolling out a major shift this fall/winter



Water Risk Filter Developing a sub-structure



Risk Type	Risk Sub-Type	
Physical	Quantity – Scarcity*	
	Quantity – Flooding*	
	Quality*	
	Ecosystem Service Status*	
Regulatory	Enabling Environment (Laws & Policy)	•
	Institutions & Governance	
	Management Instruments	
	Infrastructure & Finance	
Reputational	Community cultural importance	
	Media Scrutiny	
	Corporate trust	
	Community conflict / Tenure Risk	

These elements are the master variables in determining flows/consistency of availability, physical impairment and general water use

These elements are generally recognized as the drivers of water governance (be it via SDG 6.5 framework/IWRM principles, or OECD framework)

These elements are some of the key environmental drivers of reputational risks (most of which is driven by operational water risk)

*Climate change projections (coming)



Water Risk Filter Developing a sub-structure – why?

Risk Type	Risk Sub-Type
Physical	Quantity – Scarcity*
	Quantity – Flooding*
	Quality*
	Ecosystem Service Status*
Regulatory	Enabling Environment (Laws & Policy)
	Institutions & Governance
	Management Instruments
	Infrastructure & Finance
Reputational	Community cultural importance
	Media Scrutiny
	Corporate trust
	Community conflict / Tenure Risk

*Climate change projections (coming)

Sub-structure allows for:

- Adaptation of the WRF to highresolution country/regional versions in a manner that maintains the integrity and aims of the system while enabling flexibility of indicators
- Balanced approach across the three risk areas (presently the other areas are underdeveloped in the WRF, as well as within competing tools)
- Supports Freshwater Practice integration (via Governance in Regulatory Risk, as well as Finance – covered in Regulatory aspect)
- Enables new data, innovation & partnerships with players like Globescan, UNEP-DHI, RepRisk, etc.

Structuring Regulatory Water Risk



Figure 2. OECD's Principles on Water Governance

Two Primary Governance Frameworks

1. IWRM framework (SDG 6.5.1):

Pro: In theory, populated with data in the future by countries; alignment with UNGC (CEO WM) & corporate contributions to SDGs. *Con:* Technically an IWRM framework

2. OECD framework:

Pro: A dedicated water governance framework with extensive input. *Con:* Not populated with data & less amenable to spatial mapping.

Water Risk Area	Water Risk Sub-Area (per SDG 6.5.1)	Water Risk Sub-Area (per OECD framework)
Regulatory	Enabling Environment (Laws & Policy)	Regulatory frameworks (efficiency); Policy coherence (effectiveness)
	Institutions & Governance	Innovative governance (efficiency); stakeholder engagement + integrity & transparency (trust & engagement); Capacity + clear roles & responsibilities + appropriate scales (effectiveness)
	Management Instruments	Data & Information (efficiency); monitoring & evaluation + trade-off mechanisms (trust & engagement)
	Infrastructure & Finance	Financing (efficiency);



Harnessing water risk tools: Expanding our understanding of water waste

Paul Reig, WRI | World Water Week | September 2017

AQUEDUCT





PRIVATE SECTOR UPTAKE

AB InBev Abbott ACTIAM Antea Group Anthesis Apple AstraZeneca Bayer Bloomberg ΒP Calvert Investments Cargill CDP Water Ceres Chevron Citi Coca Cola Colgate Conagra Deloitte

Diageo Dow DuPont FCOI AB Eileen Fisher Facebook Gap GlaxoSmithKline GM Google Heineken IEA IFC IKEA JP Morgan **Kimberly Clark** Levi Strauss LGIM Mars McKinsey & Co

Molson Coors Mondelez MSCI NBIM Nestle New Balance Nike Olam P&G Pacific Disaster Center Pacific Institute Patagonia PepsiCo Pfizer PGGM PNB Paribas PwC Red Cross Repsol RobecoSAM

SASB Shell Societe Generale The Economist The South Pole Group The Sustainability Consortium U.S. DOD U.S. AID UN FAO UN ICEF Unilever Valuing Nature VF Corp WalMart Walt Disney WBCSD World Bank WSP



NEW DATA: GROUNDWATER

COMBINED SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER STRESS GROUNDWATER STRESS GROUNDWATER TABLE DECLINE

WORLD RESOURCES IN

UN Photo/Albert González Farran

NEW DATA: FLOODING

Flood

Flood

COASTAL STORM SURGE FLOOD RISK

7:64

10-

NEW DATA: WATER QUALITY

WASTEWATER TREAMENT INDEX OF COSTAL EUTROPHICATION POTENTIAL

Source: IEA New Policies Scenarios, American Society of Civil-Engineers Infrastructure Report Card. PHOTO: Vittal Boggaram

WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE

NEW DATA: FOOD

CROP AREA, YIELD, PRODUCTION, NET TRADE FOOD DEMAND WORLD PRICE KILOCALORIES & RISK OF HUNGER

SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE & USER EXPERIENCE





AQUEDUCT WATER RISK ATLAS

TOOLS HOW TO RESOURCE LIBRARY ABOUT US GET INVOLVED Q



AQUEDUCT FOOD

RESOURCE LIBRARY ABOUT US GET INVOLVED Q TOOLS HOW TO



On average, groundwater tables have declined by -78% in areas where rice is grown since 1990.

On average, risk of water stress will increase in areas where rice is grown by 202% through 2040.



Kazakhstan





BRAZIL SUMMARY (SOYBEAN) Water risk score Yield Area Pop. at risk of hunger 0.91 4.83 tons/ha 22.2k ha 4.21 %

ARGENTINA SUMMARY (SOYBEAN)							
Water risk score	Yield	Area	Pop. at risk of hunger				
2.51	5.34 tons/ha	14.5k ha	4.83 %				





🗎 i

Q

ABOUT US GET INVOLVED

Rio Grande do Sul



Search country or basin			Q Check map			
and the second						
Water stress 207	14	Water stress 2040	Drought risk	Flood risk		
Water stress short explanation lorem ipsum sit eget risus varius blandit sit amet non magna. A Pellentesque est ornare sem lacinia quam vene			amet sed diam ca Aenean eu leo qua enatis.	asius am.		
COUN	TRIES BA	ASINS: 100 MOST POPULOUS	BASINS: 100 LARGE	EST BY AREA		
1 Bang	gladesh			5.00		
2 Bhut	an			4.87		
3 Nepa	al			4.86	Comments	

Ongoing improvements to the Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas are made possible thanks to the support of:





Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment



Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands



ECOLAB Bloomberg





For additional information, please contact:

Paul Reig | Senior Associate, WRI | preig@wri.org



The CEO Water Mandate





Context-Based Water Targets and Corporate Alignment with SDG 6

Stockholm August 29, 2017

Objective

- What are corporate Context-Based Water Targets (CBWTs) and why do we need them?
- How do CBWTs connect to Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6?



The CEO Water Mandate

Setting Context-Based Water Targets

Contextual Water Target

· vary by geographic and social context

Generalized Water Target

- overarching
- does not vary by geographic and social context

Context-Based Water Target

- specific
- time-bound
- addresses basin conditions









Corporate Water Stewardship Progression



Corporate Water Stewardship Contributes to SDG6



Corporate Water Stewardship Contributes to SDG6



Illustrative Example



Go Further

- Reduced water use per vehicle manufactured in their Cuautitlan, Mexico facility by 58 percent between 2000 and 2003.
- In the 1990s, the regional Cuautitlan government recognized that demand for water was outstripping supply.
- Government placed limits on water withdrawals and requiring stricter permitting processes.



The CEO Water Mandate

- 1. Develop a common definition of CBWT and guidance for applying CBWT
- 2. Pilot test guidance for specific industry sectors and river basins globally

Opportunities to engage:

- Advisory committee. To inform the development of the guidance (technical, practical), and guide the roadmap going forward.
- Pilot test at your facility





INFP-DHI PARTN

Thank you!

Tien Shiao Pacific Institute tshiao@pacinst.org